## LX.-On a new Sparrow-Hawk from Madeira. By R. Bowdeler Sharpe, F̌.L.S. ©e.

My colleague Mr. W. R. Ogilvie Grant has just returned from a three weeks' trip to Madeira, and amonget many interesting species of birds obtained during his residence on the island was a female Sparrow-Hawk which turns ont to be a most interesting insular form of $A$. nisus, quite sufficiently characterized to deserve a specific name. I therefore call it after my energetic friend and colleague

## Accipiter Granti, sp. n.

ㅇ ad. similis $A$. nisi $\frac{\text { o }}{}$, sed ubique saturatior, supra schistaceonigrieans ; subtus late et regulariter nigro fasciatus ; tibiis, subalaribus et axillaribus late nigro fasciatis.
Long. tot. $15 \cdot 5$, culm. $0 \cdot 75$, alæ $8 \cdot 7$, eaudæ $6 \cdot 9$, tarsi $2 \cdot 3$.
Although the comparative diagnosis given above would make it appear that the similarity of this new Sparrow-Hawk to the common species of Europe is very marked, the differences between them are really very pronomed, and when, as I hope it will do shortly, a figure of the Madeira species appears in the 'Ibis,' it will be seen that a very interesting Accipitrine bird has been added to our list of species of the Western Palæarctic Region. The Madeira bird is in fact very closely allied to Accipiter madaguscariensis, but it possesses a well-marked chestnut tuft of plumes on the flanks, which shows that its real affinities are with A. nisus, as this character is always wanting in the Madagascar bird.
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There are a few mifortunate errors and obscuritics in the earlicr portion of this paper ; thongh they do not affeet the argument, it is best to correet them without delay. And at the same time I must thank Dr. P. H. Carpenter for having kindly called my attention to them. P. 311, last line, for "excretion" read "the discharge of excrement."
"Excretion" in scientific language means " the dis harge of a secretion ;" excrement is not a secretion.
P. 313, last line, for "Miller" reud "Miuller."
P. 315, line 3, for "B and C as light, D and E as Left" read "B and C as Left, I) and E as Right ;" I must apologize to Dr. Carpenter, and indeed to my readers generally, for this very bothering slip.
1': 315, second line after Table, instead of "chambered Organ" resel "phane seprating the stem from the Cahax," This phane is chasen
lrecause of its nearness to the Chambered Organ, the capsule of which is the Goveruing Organ of the animal's movements; the Chambered Organ, however, is, as a rule, actually above the Infrabasals.
P. 318, line 10, fon "basals and infrabnsals "read "infrabasals (as well as basals)." The quotation from Wachsmuth and Springer, in inverted commas, reters only to infrabasals; the application of it to basals was inaccurate and at the same time weakened my argument. Nevertheless a mere correction would not be quite fiir, for it is a fact, as Mr. Wachsmuth has elsewhere pointed out, that the basals also are proportionately large in the ronng.
Pp. $3=0$ et seqq. The sign $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{i}$ of course the same as $\mathrm{R} \times$ of p .33 .3 and of Plate SIV. : but $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ is an intentional difference. An unfortumate though blameless mistake in sending out the proofs prevented me from correcting them quite as closely as I could have wished.
1'. $32: 3$, End and ird lines from botom. Some may think that they see a misrepresentation here. I have represented Messrs. Wachsmith and Springer as saying that the azyoos plate is as much radial as interradial. A correct quotation wonld have been "the azygous plate in Buerocrimus is d.". But since they consider this plate in Bacrocrinus to be homologons with the radianal (which I do not), and since they in the rery next sentence imply that it is in an ancestral stage, it is clear that a simple and exact quotation wonld not have given their complete meaning but would hare tended to confuse the issues. Accuracy, even pedantic accuracy, is not to be despised; but to one summarizing an argument, the spirit usually seems more worthy of retention thas does the letter.

On a few C'aliformiun Mechuse. By J. Walter Fewnes.
The author gives the results of his investigations on the Meduse of the coast of southern California--chiefly of the Santa Barbara Chamel, into whieh the rast waters of the Pacific carry many strange organisms. He describes a new Pelatia ( $P$. panopyra), a new Auretia (A. Tabiata), which, however, closely rescmbles A. flurdutu of the Atlantic shores, and Polyorchis penicillate, A. Agassiz, a form having intermediate characters (resembling both Anthomeduse and Leptomedusæ), for no otocysts occur on the margin of the umbrella as in the former, while the reproductive organs are on the radial canals, as in the latter. Another form, Dipurena, has au umbrella like Sursia, but with nine short, clarate, marginal tentacles. The reproductive organs occur in the manubrium, as in the genus mentioned. Microctmpo, n. g., again, has six radial canals instead of four, and a single, club-shaped, inflexible tentacle. It is probably an immature form. Another Medusoid is Hylocodon-probably near Steenstrupia-in which the buds arise near the long solitary tentacle bristling with rings of nematocysts. Each bud has a single tentacle. The interest in connexion with this form is the more rivid since a very similar form is found in St. Andrews Bay, though in the lattor case the much larger buds present two tentacles, while in the adult two shorter tentacles oceur near the long one, each springing from a similarly enlarged base. Mr. Ferkes figures these two tentacles, but is of opinion they arise from the buds. As at St. Audrews the buds showed two tentacles, further investigation on this peint would be satisfactory. The author euncludes bis very inter-

